

## Experiences in big data for drug effects

Prof. dr. Miriam CJM Sturkenboom

Dep. Medical Informatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, The Netherlands

Erasmus MC zamo

## Content

#### Background

 Use of 'big' data in Pharmacoepidemiology and development of networks

### **Innovative Medicines Initiative**

- EMIF
- ADVANCE

## How is safety of drugs tested?



Too little known about safety and effects in special groups (children, pregnant women, elderly)



## Traditional post-marketing Pharmacovigilance method

Serious adverse effects resulting from the treatment with thalidomide prompted modern drug legislation more than 50 years ago.



ADRs reported by physicians, entered in database and then signal needs to be detected

**Erasmus** MC



## In period 2004-2007: safety scandals

- Post-marketing spontaneous reporting systems for suspected adverse drug reactions (ADRs) have been the main pillar to detect safety signals in Pharmacovigilance.
- It has become evident that adverse effects of drugs may be detected too late, when millions of persons have already been exposed. (examples: Vioxx, Lipobay, SSRIs, Rosiglitazone....)

## • Earlier detection is needed





# Characteristics of drug safety assessment

- Drug use is infrequent
- Highly variable use: cannot be remembered
   Need for alternative data sources
- Serious idiosyncratic events are rare (1/10,000 - 1/100,000)

Need for 'big data'





## Routine health care data

Wealth of data



#### Before 2007: Fragmented way of working: each center conducts its own study NSAIDs & AMI



Erasmus MC Universitely Medich Centrum Rotterdam

#### From Hernandez-Diaz

## Can we do better than meta-analyses of disparate studies?







# As a consequence of drug safety scandals:

# Collaborative distributed system started across the world





## USA

## Drug Safety Reform at the FDA — Pendulum Swing or Systematic Improvement?

Mark McClellan, M.D., Ph.D.

With almost all prescriptions now processed electronically, and with the availability of increasingly detailed data on health care utilization and outcomes for insured Americans, we could implement a routine, systematic approach to active population-based drug surveillance that could identify potential safety problems much more effectively and relatively inexpensively.[4] For example, Richard Platt, a professor of ambulatory care and prevention at Harvard Medical School, has noted that with a (now feasible) data

One reason drugs may be used for years before risks become evident is that we have no active drug-surveillance system.

network incl cardiovascu an electroni causality wh

```
Perspective
```

#### Protecting the Health of the Public — Institute of Medicine Recommendations on Drug Safety

Bruce M. Psaty, M.D., Ph.D., and Sheila P. Burke, M.P.A., R.N. N Engl J Med 2006; 355:1753-1755 | October 26, 2006 | DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp068228



# FDA-AA: aim to have 100 million lives monitored



## **The Sentinel Initiative**

Access to Electronic Healthcare Data for More Than 25 Million Lives Achieving FDAAA Section 905 Goal One

An update on FDA's progress in building a national electronic system for monitoring the postmarket safety of FDA-approved drugs and other medical products

http://www.fda.gov/Safety/FDAsSentinelInitiative/default.htm



#### USA: OMOP public private partnership 2008-2013

Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership		About Us Ne	ws & Events
Search this site:	)bservational Medical Outcomes Partnership	Quick Links Vocabulary Queries	
<ul> <li>Navigation</li> <li>2013 Symposium</li> <li>About Us</li> <li>Research</li> <li>Implementation</li> <li>Simulated Data</li> <li>Resources</li> <li>News &amp; Events</li> <li>Community</li> </ul>	The Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) was a public-private partnership established to inform the appropriate use of observational healthcare databases for studying the effects of medical products. Over the course of the 5-year project and through its community of researchers from industry, government, and academia, OMOP successfully achieved its aims to: 1) conduct methodological research to empirically evaluate the performance of various analytical methods on their ability to identify true associations and avoid false findings, 2) develop tools and capabilities for transforming, characterizing, and analyzing disparate data sources across the health care delivery spectrum, and 3) establish a shared resource so that the broader research community can collaboratively advance the science. The results of OMOP's research has been widely published and presented at scientific conferences, including the annual OMOP Symposium. The OMOP Legacy continues The community is actively using the OMOP common data model and vocabulary for their various research purposes. Those tools will continue to be maintained and supported, and information about this work is available at: http://omop.org/CDM. The OMOP Research Lab, a central computing resource developed to facilitate methodological research, has been transitioned to the Reagan-Udall Foundation for the FDA under the Innovation in Medical Evidence Development and Surveillance (IMEDS)	Health Outcome Methods Library Vocabulary Dow - Unrestricted - Restricted Publications Presentations	CDM Queries Health Outcomes of Interest Methods Library Vocabulary Download - Unrestricted - Restricted Publications Presentations
	Program, and has been re-branded as the Incos cab. Lean more at http://meds.reaganddan.org/	Eras	smus MC

2 alm

#### 2013: OMOP investigators established ODHSI community



#### Welcome to OHDSI!

The Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics (or OHDSI, pronounced "Odyssey") program is a multi-stakeholder, interdisciplinary collaborative to bring out the value of health data through large-scale analytics. All our solutions are open-source



http://www.ohdsi.org/who-we-are/mission-vision-values/

#### 2013: OMOP data in IMEDS

Our Work



Reagan-Udall Foundation for the FDA 1025 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 1000 | Washington, DC. 20036

§ (202) 828-1205 | Comments@ReaganUdall.org

Subscribe to Email Updates

News and Events

About Us How We Operate Regulatory Science

#### **IMEDS** Governance Structure

#### Governance Structure for the Innovation in Medical Evidence Development and Surveillance (IMEDS) Program



#### **IMEDS** Charter

#### IMEDS Governance Structure



Contact Us

Learn More About Our Work

The Reagan-Udall Foundation leads and collaborates on programs, projects and other initiatives that advance its mission in support of the FDA. Find Out More »

AND A TOTAL PROPERTY

**Erasmus** MC

zamo



HOME ABOUT INVESTIGATORS PROJECTS LINKS CONTACT

5

**Erasmus** MC

zafino

#### Canadian Network for Observational Drug Effect Studies

Committed to rapid and sophisticated analysis



f

http://www.cnodes.ca/

Asian Pl

#### Asian Pharmacoepidemiology Network



http://aspennet.asia/aboutus.html



# How are data pooled and do people work together?



#### **OMOP Common Data Model**



What is a Common Data Model (CDM)?

Erasmus MC Universitate Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

#### **ASPEN: protocol specific common data files**



Erasmus MC Universitaje Medisch Centrum Rotterdam



Erasmus MC

zam

## What about Europe?

#### Do we have healthcare database in the EU?



Total EU population: 456 M databases on more than 100 M available



#### Use of DBs in EU

#### Most PASS done with DBs in North America!

- Very little EU
- Sometimes THIN/GPRD

#### Causes

- Disparate databases
- Private / academic / governmental owners
- Not easily accessible
  - Heterogeneity between countries
    - Coding /reimbursement of drugs
    - Coding of diseases
    - Language
    - Governance





## EC /EU Initiatives /projects to have Pan-European networks



zaym

<

MCJM Sturkenboom

#### 2007: First project to link disparate database in EU



To achieve this objective, EU-ADR will exploit clinical data from electronic healthcare records (EHRs) of over 30 million patients from several European countries (The Netherlands, Denmark, United Kingdom, and Italy). In this project a variety of text mining, epidemiological and other computational techniques will be used to analyze the EHRs in order to detect 'signals' (combinations of that warrant further investigation). EU-ADR is carried out by

drugs and suspected adverse events that warrant further investigation). EU-ADR is carried out by an interdisciplinary team of researchers who share the ultimate objective to demonstrate that an carlier detection of adverse side effects of drugs is possible by using modern biomedical



prof. dr. MCJM Sturkenboom



Log in

Request new password

#### How to combine data in EU? Dealing with EU heterogeneity



Fig. 1 Distributed analysis architecture in Mini-Sentinel and Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership as compared with Exploring and Understanding Adverse Drug Reactions (EU-ADR).



#### Click here for more articles from the symposium

doi: 10.1111/joim.12159

#### Combining multiple healthcare databases for postmarketing drug and vaccine safety surveillance: why and how?

■ G. Trifirò<sup>1,2</sup>, P. M. Coloma<sup>1</sup>, P. R. Rijnbeek<sup>1</sup>, S. Romio<sup>1,3</sup>, B. Mosseveld<sup>1</sup>, D. Weibel<sup>1</sup>, J. Bonhoeffer<sup>4,5</sup>, M. Schuemie<sup>1,6,7</sup>, J. van der Lei<sup>1</sup> & M. Sturkenboom<sup>1</sup>



#### Tools for collaborative studies





**Remote Research Environment** 



## Subsequent studies

Drug Safety hypothesis testing 'industrialization of processes'





## EC funded study

- Safety of NSAIDs study requested by EMA
  - Collaborative study
  - 7 Health care databases: 4 countries
  - Common protocol
  - Same software





EMA meeting, 18th October 2010

#### PARTICIPATING DATABASES IN SOS STUDY



Country	Database	Record System	Study	Number	Person-Years
Country			Period	of Patients	of Observation
Germany	GePaRD	Administrative	2005-2008	13,725,003	35,464,659
Italy	SISR-LOMBARDY	Administrative	2000-2008	7,612,788	57,847,589
	OSSIFF	Administrative	2000-2008	2,963,407	21,790,769
	PEDIANET	General Practice	2000-2010	221,115	1,064,867
		(Pediatricians)			
Netherlands	PHARMO	Record Linkage	1999-2008	2,168,518	13,389,217
	IPCI	General Practice	1999-2011	1,009,275	2,655,991
UK	THIN	General Practice	1999-2008	4,816,668	23,166,431
	Tot	32.5 mio.	155 mio.		

#### ADVANTAGE OF COMBINED STUDIES: MORE HETEROGENEITY



**Erasmus** MC

zamo



#### Fraction of persontime of exposure to NSAIDs



## **Acute Myocardial Infarction**

#### N= 79,553 cases

# 18 individual NSAIDs could be studied



#### Random effect pooled estimates for AMI





Erasmus MC Universitais Medich Centrum Rotterdam

# Translation of approach to vaccine era

#### VAESCO

#### Safety of pandemic influenza vaccine



## Relative risk of GBS (case control)



RESEARCH

#### Guillain-Barré syndrome and adjuvanted pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 vaccine: multinational case-control study in Europe

Jeanne Dieleman senior pharmacoepidemiologist<sup>1</sup>, Silvana Romio senior medical statistician<sup>1</sup>, Kari Johansen senior inflecticus diseases scientist<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Weibel senior epidemiologist<sup>1</sup>, Jan Borhoeffer consultant in inflecticus diseases and vaccines<sup>3</sup>. Miriam Sturkenboom professor of pharmacoepidemiology and medical informatics<sup>1</sup>, and the VAESCO-GBS Case-Control Study Group

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Informatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotherdam, Netherlands; <sup>7</sup>European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, ECDC, Stockholm, Sweder; <sup>2</sup>Brighton Collaboration, Basel, Switzerland



## Relative risk of GBS (case control)



**Erasmus** M

zan

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Informatics, Enasmus University Medical Center, Rotlerdam, Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, ECDC, Stockholm, Swederc <sup>2</sup>Brighton Collaboration, Basel, Switzerland

# Nice initiatives/projects but no sustainability

## **Innovative Medicines Initiative**



## **Innovative Medicines Initiative**

The IMI is a unique Public-Private Partnership (PPP) between the pharmaceutical industry represented by the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA) and the European Union represented by the European Commission.







## European Medical Information Framework Coordinator: Bart van Nieuwenhuyse





#### Our vision



To be the trusted European hub for health care data intelligence, enabling new insights into diseases and treatments





EMIF introduction

June 201

44



#### Why is EMIF needed? Secondary use of health data to enrich research



EMIF introduction

#### Why is EMIF needed? Potential applications of Real World Data





 Prospective cohort selection

- Analysis treatment pathways
- Collection of clinical and economic evidence
- Ongoing efficiency and safety monitoring



etpia

**46** 

### Our mission



The EMIF project aims to improve access to human health data for life sciences research. This will be achieved via a three-phase approach:





EMIF introduction

## **Project overview**





#### SME PARTNERS



#### PATIENT ORGANISATION

C Alzheimer



- 56 partners from 14 European \* countries
- €56 million worth of resources \*\*
- Three projects in one \*
- Five year project \* (2013 - 2017)

## **Project objectives**



#### **EMIF-Platform**



#### **EMIF-Metabolic**



Identify predictors of metabolic complications in obesity, with the support of EMIF-Platform



Identify predictors of Alzheimer's Disease (AD) in the pre-clinical and prodromal phase, with the support of EMIF-Platform





EMIF introduction







Data

#### owners





Researche

rs

#### Data available through consortium



Large variety in "types" of data















**Biobanks** 

Primary care data sets

Hospital data

Administrative data

Regional record-linkage systems



subjects in

Data is available from more than 53 million subjects from seven EU countries, including











### Accelerated Development of VAccine beNefit-risk Collaboration in Europe

**Coordination team** 

Miriam Sturkenboom (EMC, coordinator) Vincent Bauchau (GSK, EFPIA coordinator) Jan Bonhoeffer (UNIBAS, Managing Entity) Eva Molero (SYNAPSE, project management)





### After 2009 pandemic it was recognized that

- Rapid benefit & risk monitoring vaccines necessary component to maintain public confidence
- Many stakeholders work in isolation

### Call for proposal 2012 by Innovative Medicines Initiative





- FACTS
- IMI funded project (5 million EC & 5 million in kind EFPIA)
- Unique collaboration (public private): 19 countries
  - European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)
  - European Medicines Agency (EMA)
  - National public health bodies:11
  - National regulators: 10
  - Vaccine manufacturers (GSK, Novartis, Sanofi Pasteur, SP MSD, J&J (Crucell), Pfizer, Takeda)
  - SMEs (P95, SYNAPSE)
  - Academic institutions: 9
- Start date: October 2013
- Duration: 5 years







• "Best evidence at the right time to support decision-making on vaccination in Europe."







To establish a <u>prototype</u> of a <u>sustainable</u> and compelling system that <u>rapidly</u> provides <u>best available scientific evidence</u> on vaccination benefits and risks post-licensure for well informed decisions.

This will be achieved by developing and testing a code of conduct, rules of governance, technical infrastructures, data sources, methods, and workflows in a European network of stakeholders.



## ADVANCE Key Achievements: Agreed workflow





## ADVANCE Key achievements: Agreed Way to generate evidence











## Legacies so far





The EU-ADR Alliance has the main goal of running studies and answering drug safety questions from members and external organisations in a collaborative and federated manner, in those cases where the participation of more than one database is required. It is also devoted to maintenance and continuing

*improvement of the EU-ADR system.* 

Information EU-ADR ALLIANCE management office: emolero@synapse-managers.com

Erasmus MC Universitate Medisch Centrum Rotterdam

### VACCINE.GRID: Global Resource of Immunization Outcome Data



http://vaccinegrid.org/public.html



## Questions?